Review of Performance Audit Report

Name of the Institution	:	WBUT Kolkata	Sub-component	:	1.1

Name of Performance Auditor of the institution	:	Prof. Kartik Chandra Patra
Name of Data Auditor of the institution	:	Dr D K Mitra
Date of completion of Review	:	17 Sept 2016

CRITERIA	Rating (A, B, or C)	Comments to assist NPIU in handling the report. [1]
i. Completeness	A	Yes. All segments have been covered and reported with evidences.
ii. Consistency and relevance	A	Well consistent and relevant
iii. Details and specificity	A	Acceptable
iv. Meticulousness	A	The report has been nicely formatted and submitted.
v. Feedback clarity	A	Acceptable
Overall rating for the report	A	A very good report

[1] The Evaluators should indicate changes needed to be made to the report before it can be sent back to the institution. For good reports (rated A) these can be sent to the institution formally as a completed report. For average reports (rated B) the evaluators should provide guidance on what needs to be done: such as providing more evidence in particular sections, clarifying some points, or some quick editing of the report. For poor reports (rated C) the performance auditor may need to substantially revise the report, or, if too much time has passed, conduct the audit visit again and write the report.